

Appendix ③

From: SMART Julie 50403 <Julie.SMART@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk>
Sent: 22 April 2021 18:31
To: Licensing; Clarke, Sarah; Cottell, Carrie
Subject: REPRESENTATION FOR THE GRANT OF A PREMISES AT NO 18 THE ESPLANADE, PAIGNTON
Attachments: No 18 Decision Notice.pdf; Hyde Dendy Crimes at Premises and in Kernou Road since 090218.docx

Good evening

I refer to an application for the grant of a premises licence in respect of the above named premises, made by the East Street Pub Company Ltd.

The application has been made in respect of the basement area of the premises known as the Hyde Dendy, 18 Esplanade Road, Paignton. Part of the premises covered within this application is already licensed for the sale of alcohol until 0100 hrs under the authority of the premises licence for the Hyde Dendy, Premises Licence No 1132, issued by Torbay Council.

This application requests the supply of alcohol between 0800 and 0300 hrs every day of the week, with the premises closing at 0330 hrs each day.

The Applicant

The applicant, East Street Pub Company Ltd, currently operates the Hyde Dendy, and has done so since the licence was transferred to them on 9 February 2018.

Since the East Street Pub Company Ltd became the Premises Licence Holders the following breaches of conditions and issues have been identified:

02/06/18 – Visit by myself and Mrs Mandy Guy during the Torbay Airshow. Mrs Guy noted that a large wooden TV viewing area/smoking shelter and an ice cream stall/bar had been erected and these structures were not shown on the approved plan of the premises visited the premises whilst the Torbay Airshow was taking place. During the airshow myself and Mrs Guy noticed some customers leaving the premises with glasses of drinks and Mr Narvidge was advised to ensure that no glasses are removed from his premises.

01/11/18 - Myself and Karl Martin (Public Protection Officer of Torbay Council) attended the Hyde Dendy where we met with Mr Stephen Narvidge, Mr Xander Narvidge and their solicitor, Mr James Cross. It was identified that the internal layout of this area had changed and a kitchen area had been built into the rear right hand corner. Advice was given that licensable activities were not taking in accordance with the licence and a variation was required to amend the plans.

At that meeting Mr Martin told Mr Narvidge that even if the building could satisfactorily prevent noise breakout he would still have concerns with the noise associated with people leaving the premises.

I can advise you that no variation application was received following the meeting at the Hyde Dendy in November 2018.

31/07/19 - I attended the Hyde Dendy with Mrs Carrie Cottell (Licensing Enforcement Officer of Torbay Council) in relation to a glassing incident that occurred at the premises on Sunday 28 July 2019. Mrs Cottell established that Mr Stephen Narvidge, the DPS, did not hold the BIIAB National Certificate for Designated Premises Supervisors as required by a condition on the licence. It was further established that Mr Narvidge should have completed this training by no later than 23 March 2018. On this date it was again brought to Mr Narvidge's attention that no variation had been received in relation to the layout changes identified in November 2018.

As a result of that visit it is apparent that offences under Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003 had been taking place every time that licensable activities took place on the premises since at least 23 March 2018.

22/04/21 – I attended the premises and spoke to Mr Narvidge concerning an incident at the premises on Monday 12 April 2021 where a male was assaulted by being hit with a glass bottle (CR/29844/21). Whilst discussing this incident I asked to see the entry in the incident book. Whilst Mr Narvidge did produce a folder containing incident reports, there was no report in relation to this incident.

The premises licence currently contains the below condition:

The DPS shall ensure that an Incident Book is kept on the premises and that all incidents are recorded therein on a daily basis. This record shall include the full names of all persons involved, if possible or practical to do so. The Incident Book shall be available for inspection by a police officer, a police licensing officer or officers of the local authority on demand, and such records shall be kept at the premises for a minimum of 12 months.

It therefore appears that this condition was not complied with on this occasion, and this constitutes an offence under Section 136 of the Licensing Act 2003.

It is of further concern, that door stewards were not employed on this night, which was the first night that licensed premises were allowed to open for consumption outside only following over 3 months of lockdown due to the Coronavirus pandemic. Mr Narvidge assures me that door stewards will be employed for the foreseeable future, with 2 stewards on duty on Friday and Saturday evenings, and 1 steward on all other evenings.

History of Premises

There has been a Premises Licence at this premises since the introduction of the Licensing Act 2003 and it would be fair to say that the premises has history of being subject to noise and anti-social behaviour complaints from nearby residents and business owners.

In September 2017 the Premises Licence at 18 Esplanade Road, Paignton, then called Murphy's Roadhouse, lapsed due to the insolvency Premises Licence Holders. The management subsequently applied for the grant of a Premises Licence, to re-instate the lapsed licence, authorising the sale of alcohol until 3.00 am every day of the week, but the police, Mr Martin and various residents objected to that application.

At a hearing of the Licensing Authority, the Licensing Committee subsequently granted the application with the sale of alcohol until 1.00 am every day of the week.

In September 2019 the East Street Pub Company submitted an application for the grant of a new licence in respect of No 18, to allow the sale of alcohol until 0200 hrs on Sundays to Thursdays, and 0300 hrs on Friday and Saturday nights, with the premises closing 30 minutes after the last permitted sale. The application also sought various forms of regulated entertainment. The police, your Public Protection Officer and various local residents made representation, and a hearing was held on 24 October 2019 to consider the matter. As a result of that hearing your Licensing Sub-Committee refused the application and I have attached a copy of your decision notice for information.

The Application

I can advise you that since the previous application was submitted in 2019, the applicant has addressed many of the concerns identified by the police within their application, and to support their application they have submitted various policy documents. However, it is apparent that they have not taken into consideration a number of matters contained within the Torbay Council Licensing Statement of Principles 2021-2026 in relation to the prevention of crime and disorder. The police consider that the application is lacking detail in relation to the below matters:

Despite raising concerns in relation to the 2019 application that the application made no reference to staff completing the BIIAB Level 1 Award in Responsible Alcohol Retailing or whether there will be a personal

licence holder on duty when alcohol is sold after midnight, these matters again have not been considered by the applicant. Paragraphs (xiii) and (xvi) on page 20 of your Licensing Statement of Principles refer.

Page 18, paragraph (ii) recommends measures to improve security and public safety, such as setting a maximum bag size policy during ENTE periods and providing cloakroom facilities for bag and coat storage. A bag size policy is not referred to within the application or policy documents, and whilst there is mention of a cloakroom within one of the policies, this has not been included as a condition on the licence.

Page 18, paragraph (iii) of your policy recommends that licence holders have measures and procedures in place to check the SIA register of licensed door supervisors by the use of the SIA Company Licence Checker facility. No reference to conducting SIA licence checks on door stewards is mentioned within the application or policy documents.

Page 20, paragraph (xiv) requests licence holders to indicate how they will manage intoxicated customers, for example by using a breath box to randomly test and refuse entry to customers, and to randomly test customers within. As the applicant is seeking to extend the sale of alcohol for an additional 2 hrs every day of the week, it is likely that there will be increased levels of intoxication at the premises, and the identification of this has not been addressed. Concerns were raised in relation to drunkenness by the police in within our representation for the 2019 application.

Page 20, paragraph (xv) recommends that licence holders ensure there is a safe area for leaving drinks when leave customers leave the premises temporarily, eg to smoke, but the application contains no reference in relation to this matter.

Page 20, paragraph (xvii) recommends that the written authorisation of the DPS to all staff is kept on the premises but the applicant has not referred to this in the application.

Page 21, paragraphs (xviii) recommends the adoption of the Ask for Angela and Ask for Clive initiatives. Does the applicant intend to adopt these?

Page 21, paragraph (xix) recommends that premises situated within the designated area of the Special Saturation Policy meet the standards set by Best Bar None. Although this premises is not situated within this area, the police consider that it is appropriate for premises seeking the sale of alcohol until 0300 hrs to also ensure they meet the standards set by Best Bar None.

Page 21, paragraph (xx) recommends that applicants write policies regarding off sales to be supplied in sealed containers for premises situated within Designated Public Space Protection Orders, where it is believed that the alcohol will be consumed within that area, and further recommends the display of notices advising customers of the DPSP. This application makes no reference to off sales despite concerns being raised about this matter within the police representation in respect of the 2019 application.

Page 21, paragraph (xxiii) recommends that applicants ensure the even distribution of customers within a premises, to reducing crowding and pinch points which can lead to conflict. You will note within the attached decision notice that both the police and your licensing committee raised concerns regarding the layout of this premises, in particular to the narrow corridor between the two bar areas which would create a potential 'pinch point', particularly as the toilets are also located within this area. The police note that in relation the female toilets, the number of cubicles on the 2019 were shown as 4, and they have now been increased to 6.

Furthermore, evidence referred to in a book written by Kathryn Graham and Ross Homel, called "Raising the Bar, Preventing Aggression in and around bars, pubs and clubs", shows that there is an increased risk of violence where there are high levels of crowding, patron movement, noise and low level lighting. They state "Crowding and patron movement probably affect aggression by increasing environmental precipitators in the form of provocation, frustration and irritants through associated bumping and shoving." They further state that the Surfers Paradise crowding study found that crowding appeared to arise partly from inappropriate pedestrian flow patterns caused by poor location of entry and exit doors, dance floors, bars, and toilets.

This application and the policy documents do not refer to the management of this area. The Decision Notice of 6 November 2019 states in relation to this matter "In their oral submissions, the applicant omitted to address how the flow of this area would be managed to avoid conflict between patrons which in members

opinion, could escalate to outside areas if not managed effectively. Again members found that more detailed consideration was needed in this regard and would need consulting on". It appears that the only consideration given to this matter has been to increase the cubicles within the ladies toilet, but there is no information on how this area will be managed. Whilst I was in the premises on 22 April 2021 I viewed this area with Mr Narvidge. It is my opinion that 2 people could walk shoulder to shoulder along the corridor, however the entrances at either end of the corridor can only be accessed by one person at a time. As the plan attached to the application does not contain a scale, I cannot advise you of the exact width of the corridor or entrance/exit points. I am still of the opinion that this area will cause a pinch point leading to pushing, shoving and drink spillage, which are likely to lead to frustration, aggression and violence. Furthermore, should door stewards need to go from one bar to the other, their route may be hindered by the volume of customers in this area.

I have attached to this email, a document showing the logs and crimes recorded at the premises and in Kernou Road between 9 February 2018 and 22 April 2021, this being the time that the East Street Pub Company has been responsible for the premises. Please note that the details of logs and crimes for Kernou Road are intended to give the Licensing Authority an idea of the levels and types of incidents that have been reported in that area and it is not known whether there are any links between these and the premises subject of this application.

Conclusion

With regard to the licensing objective, the Prevention of Public Nuisance, I recognise that the Torbay Council Public Protection Officer is the responsible authority for noise issues. However, myself and police officers are frequently contacted by residents in relation to noise and anti-social behaviour issues, our call centres often receive noise complaints when the local authority staff are not on duty, and we strive to assist both the local authority and residents wherever possible. I therefore consider it appropriate to advise you that I am seriously concerned about the negative impact a 0300 hrs licence for entertainment and alcohol will have on public nuisance within the vicinity of this premises, which consists of a mixture of residential and business premises, including a large number of guest houses.

It is the opinion of the police from our vast experience of dealing with licensed premises that those premises where alcohol sales cease up to 2300 hrs or midnight occasionally come to the attention of the police but generally do not impact on the Licensing Objectives. Premises authorised to sell alcohol until 0100 hrs may impact on the licensing objectives, particularly the prevention of crime and disorder and prevention of public nuisance, but with suitable management and policies in place most of these concerns can be addressed. However in respect of premise which are authorised to sell alcohol until 0300 hrs the risks significantly increase and irrespective of any conditions imposed on the licence, there is an extremely high likelihood of crime, disorder, anti-social behaviour and public nuisance issues.

The police have considered this application together with the history of the premises and your licensing policy, and we object to the granting of this application as outlined, on the grounds that it is likely to have a negative impact on the licensing objectives the prevention of crime and disorder and the prevention of public nuisance.

Should you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Kind regards

Julie Smart

Alcohol Licensing – Torbay and South Hams

Julie.smart@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk

07921 933974

[How to contact the police – ClickB4UCall](#)

[Includes: online crime reporting, 101 email, help from other organisations, community messaging, British Sign Language videos, third party reporting, Police Enquiry Offices](#)

In an emergency always call 999

This e-mail is intended for the named recipient(s) only and may contain privileged information, which is protected in law. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender to advise them and delete this e-mail. Unauthorised use, disclosure, copying or distribution is prohibited.

E-mail should not be regarded as a secure means of communication, we take all reasonable steps to ensure that e-mails are protected from malware, but cannot accept liability for any loss or damage, howsoever arising, as a result of their transmission to the recipients' computer or network.

For more information, or to contact us, please visit us at www.devon-cornwall.police.uk or www.dorset.police.uk or e-mail 101@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk or 101@dorset.pnn.police.uk



**Please reply to: Ms Mandy Guy
Community Safety, C/o Town Hall
Castle Circus, Torquay, TQ1 3DR**

East Street Pub Company Ltd
Hyde Dendy
18 Esplanade Road
Paignton
TQ4 6BD

My ref: SRU/252540
Telephone: 01803 208025
E-mail:
Website: www.torbay.gov.uk
Date: 6 November 2019

Dear Sirs

**Notice under section 23 (1) & (3) Licensing Act 2003 – Application for a Premises Licence
No 18, 18 Esplanade Road, Paignton, TQ4 6BD**

I am writing to you with respect to the committee hearing on 24 October 2019 to consider an application for a premises licence for No. 18.

I can confirm that the committee decision and reasons are as follows:

Decision

That the application for a Premises Licence in respect of No.18, 18 Esplanade Road, Paignton be refused.

Reasons for Decision

Having carefully considered all the written and oral representations, Members unanimously resolved to refuse the application before them.

~~In coming to that decision, Members considered the Independent Noise Report and Noise Management Plan submitted by the Applicant and were impressed and pleased to note that the Applicant had thoroughly addressed the issue of potential noise outbreak from inside the premises. Furthermore, Members were reassured by the Public Protection Officer's (PPO) submissions, that if implemented, the recommendations set out in the Noise Report would in his opinion, eliminate noise outbreak from inside the premises.~~

However, on the evidence before them, Members were not able to gain the same level of reassurance they required in respect of ensuring that 'the prevention of public nuisance' licensing objective was promoted when determining an application for a 3am licence. Members had careful regard to, what in their opinion, was the high likelihood of risk of residents being unreasonably disturbed by patrons leaving the premises and entering in to areas in the immediate vicinity of the premises which are residential, both commercially and private. As such, Members could not be satisfied than in granting the application, 'the prevention of public nuisance' licensing objective, would not be undermined.

Whilst Members noted the Applicants submission that a dispersal policy had been written, however without having sight of that policy as the Applicant had omitted to provide this at the hearing, Members were unable to determine for themselves whether the dispersal policy had been given

Schools and services for children and young people • social care and housing • recycling, waste disposal and clean streets • community safety • roads and transportation • town planning • tourism, harbours and economic regeneration • consumer protection and licensing • leisure, museums, libraries and arts

If you require this in a different format or language, please contact me.

the same level of consideration and attention by the Applicant. This was particularly pertinent, given Members highly perceived risk of such disturbance and therefore found the application lacking in this regard.

Members heard oral evidence from one local resident as regards the effect of noise disturbance experienced when the premises, though under a different licence holder, held a 3am licence and were also mindful of the PPO's reservations in this regard. Notwithstanding that the Applicant before them was a different operator, Members noted that the fabric of the surrounding area had not changed and therefore assessed the risk still to be present. The provision of a comprehensive dispersal policy which addressed these concerns may have provided assurances to Members in this regard.

Additionally, Members were concerned to note that the plan forming part of the application did not provide a detailed representation or accurately reflect the internal layout, as shown by the plan that the Applicant presented at the hearing. Members noted that the plan presented at the hearing, was in fact that which the Applicant intended for the premises layout and had not been consulted on, thereby potentially placing members of the public and Responsible Authorities at a disadvantage. In that had the intended plan been included in the application and consulted on, additional representations could have been made.

Notwithstanding this, on examination of the intended plan, Members were concerned to note that the application lacked detail with regard to what they foresaw as a potential 'pinch point' at the premises in respect of the connecting corridor between the two bar areas. A concern which was also raised by the Police in their oral submissions. This in Members opinion had the potential to lead to an undermining of 'the prevention of crime and disorder' licensing objective and found that more detailed considerations was needed in this regard and would need consulting on.

Members were also concerned that the connecting corridor, off of which, the toilets were located could become an issue when patrons are queueing to use the toilets and passing between the bar areas, particularly given the Applicants proposed capacity of 400 persons. In their oral submissions, the Applicant omitted to address how the flow of this area would be managed to avoid conflict between patrons which in Members opinion, could escalate to outside areas if not managed effectively. Again Members found that more detailed consideration was needed in this regard and would need consulting on.

In concluding and notwithstanding the minor breaches of conditions highlighted by the Police in respect of the adjoining premises licence which is also held by the Applicant, Members gave careful consideration as to what if any conditions could be added by them, as an alternative to refusal. However, Members resolved that with the lack detail before them, they would in effect be determining the intended concept which may not be that intended by the Applicant and therefore maintained that a refusal was appropriate in these circumstances.

I enclose a sheet advising you of your appeal rights, if you are unhappy with the determination made by the Licensing Sub Committee.

If you have any queries then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully



Ms Mandy Guy
Senior Licensing Officer

Encl – appeals schedule 7DL

c.c. Licensing Department, Devon & Cornwall Constabulary, Launceston Police Station,
Moorland Road, Launceston, PL15 7HY
Public Protection, Torbay Council, Town Hall, Castle Circus, Torquay, TQ1 3DR

Licensing Act 2003 – Appeals Guidance

Guidance for Interested parties and applicants

Appealing Licensing Decisions

This guidance describes the process for appealing a licensing authority's decision about an application for a new premises licence or club premises certificates or for a variation to an existing licence or certificate, or a provisional statement. It also contains information about the court hearings process. Unless stated otherwise, references to 'licences' in this text also apply to club premises certificates.

Who can appeal a licensing authority decision?

In relation to premises licences, "interested parties", that made relevant representations during the application stage, have the right to appeal against a licensing authority's decision in the courts, if they think:

- The licence should not have been granted
- The licensing authority should have imposed different or additional conditions on the licence
- A licensable activity should have been excluded from the licence
- The licensing authority should not have agreed to the named "premises supervisor" (not relevant for club premises certificate)
- There was a procedural irregularity, and this affected the decision (e.g. the licensing committee had failed to comply with the hearings regulations)

Any appeal must address the likely impact that granting the application may have on any of the four licensing objectives:

- The prevention of crime and disorder;
- Public safety;
- The prevention of public nuisance; and
- The protection of children from harm

How do I make an appeal?

Appeals should be made in writing to the designated officer for the magistrates' court for the area where the premises is situated. Appeals have to be made within **21 days** from the day the appellant is notified of the licensing authority decision about the application. Appellants may want to check the exact "cut-off" date with Torbay Councils Licensing Department. The court does charge a fee and it is recommended an appellant contacts the court directly of the current fee. For all general enquires please phone 01803 617880.

Please write to:

HMCTS South & West Devon
Nicholson Road
Torquay
TQ2 7AZ

Alternatively you can email:

de-torquaymcaadmin@hmcourts-service.gsi.gov.uk

Licensing Act 2003 – Appeals Guidance

What happens once an appeal has been made?

On receiving an appeal, a magistrates' court has three options. It can:

- Dismiss the appeal
- Substitute the decision being appealed against for any other decision the licensing authority could have made
- Send the case back to the licensing authority and tell them how to deal with it in accordance with directions of the court.

The court may also make such costs orders as it considers fit.

There will normally be an "initial appeal hearing" at the magistrates' court at least 28 days after the '21-day' period for making appeals. This is when the court will decide whether there is a case to hear, and whether it will hear the case itself or send it back to the licensing authority to deal with. In doing this, the court will consider whether the appeal is valid and whether the case outlined in the appeal has been contested. Where a court decides to hear the matter itself, it will normally adjourn to a separate "full hearing" date to decide the case, when sufficient court time can be allowed.

As the licensing authority is always a party to an appeal, it is suggested that concerned interested parties should contact their licensing authority to establish whether another party or the applicant has lodged an appeal.

[NB – If applicants appeal licensing authority's decisions, responsible authorities such as the police, and interested parties, such as local residents, that made representations about the application, will not, by the terms of the Licensing Act be "responding parties" at appeal hearings. However, in such cases, an interested party could request that the court make it a responding party, or the licensing authority could call upon them as a "witness" to back up the decision they made].

Procedure at an appeal hearing

Appeal hearings will take place at the magistrates' court for the area where the premises is situated. The licensing authority and the applicant will be the "responding parties" (respondents) to appeals from interested parties and will normally be present at appeal hearings. Interested parties should contact their court to find out whether they need to appear at a hearing. It may be possible in some cases for written evidence to be given to the court instead, however, magistrates courts aren't obliged to accept written evidence and can insist that parties attend in person, so prior agreement must be sought. At a hearing the person appealing would normally open the case and call his / her witnesses. However, in licensing cases the court may invite the respondents (i.e. the licence or certificate holder) to speak first, if everyone agrees, as this will enable the court to understand how the licensing authority came to its decision. All parties at an appeal hearing can call upon witnesses to provide evidence to support their position (e.g. other local residents or responsible authorities such as the police).

Costs

If you appeal against a licensing authority's decision, and you are unsuccessful, the magistrates' court can award costs against you if it sees fit. This would mean that you would have to pay other parties' legal costs as well as your own. However, the Magistrates

Licensing Act 2003 – Appeals Guidance

Association and the Justices' Clerks Society has advised that awarding costs for a licensing appeal should be an exception and not a rule, and any resident with reasonable grounds for appeal should not be penalised.

What happens after an appeal?

After an appeal hearing, the court will normally notify the licensing authority and other parties of its decision, and the reasons for it, within three working days.

The Licensing Act 2003 does not provide for a further appeal against the decision of the magistrates' court. Accordingly, the usual rules for challenging decisions of magistrates' courts will apply.

NB - Courts will not issue orders suspending the effects of any licensing authority decision, whilst an appeal is waiting to be heard. The licensing authority's decision will take effect immediately, until the outcome of any appeal is known.

This guidance does not detail matters such as the nature of the court hearing with regard to standard of evidence, role of licensing policy etc. Applicants should contact the relevant court for further advice.

Schedule 5 to the Licensing Act 2003 and Chapter 10 of the Secretary of State's Guidance to licensing authorities deal with appeals. For further information about the appeals process, contact Torquay Magistrates Court.

HYDE DENDY, ESPLANADE ROAD, PAIGNTON

LOGS AT THE PREMISES SINCE 9 FEBRUARY 2018

Date	Time	Log Ref	Incident
20/09/18	0122 hrs	0048	Staff requested a unit. No further details know. CCTV control room then advised that crowd had dispersed and officers no longer needed.
02/06/19	0149 hrs	0138	Doorstaff rang police as male and female arguing. Male abusive to female. Male then assaulted female and female screamed for him to leave her alone. Male is refusing to leave the premises and female is inside for her own safety. Male then left the area and premises called taxi for female.
02/07/19	1754 hrs	0738	Male is trying to assault female in the car park. He is hitting and punching the car as she is locked in it. Has been going on for 45 mins. Other people trying to stop the violence but it's on-going. Male is now walking away from the vehicle. Female drove off in vehicle. Staff assisted police in their enquiries.
28/07/19	0118 hrs	0090	Male has been assaulted. 4 males jumped on callers boyfriend for no reason, boyfriend is not hurt. Refused to give her details. Sounds of shouting in background. Call ended but police rang back and were no longer required.
15/08/19	2334 hrs	1017	Reporting person is at premises. Her brother has ripped her bag and stolen her glasses. Suspect is still on premises. Hard to understand female and obtain information as she is crying. Says she had an argument with her boyfriend and her brother got involved. CCTV monitored incident.
23/08/19	1831 hrs	0830	Female being attacked in the toilets by another female. Officers attended.
28/09/19	2224 hrs	0851	Male assaulting a female, arguing for a couple of minutes, heading towards cinema. CCTV monitored incident. Officers attended and dealt with it.
15/11/19	0015 hrs	0012	Two males refusing to leave, starting to fight. Officers attended. Two drunk males sent away from premises by taxi.
14/12/19	0119 hrs	0075	Male bottled. Officers attended and dealt with suspect. Ambulance required. Suspect has sustained cut on hand and another male was hit over the head and sustained a cut on his head and ear. Suspect spat at police. CCTV viewed and showed one male go up to another male and smash a glass on his head. Incident happened in busy area and several people had walked through the scene. Male was aggressive and it took 5 officers to control him.
31/12/19	0043 hrs	0035	Male causing problems for doorstaff. Officers attended and moved the male on.
06/09/20	1833 hrs	0902	3 males fighting, punches being thrown. Incident moved over to outside the Spinning Wheel. Officers arrived but door stewards had intervened and dispersed all parties.

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred between 2300 hrs and 0130 hrs

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred after 0130 hrs

03/10/20	1814 hrs	0675	Very few details of incident on log, but states officers attended to deal with a suspect
19/02/21	1920 hrs	0705	Male stating that he hadn't been paid for waste he removed from premises over 4 weeks ago so he had gone and dumped it back on their land.
19/04/21	2219 hrs	0961	Male refusing to leave. Getting more aggressive.

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred between 2300 hrs and 0130 hrs

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred after 0130 hrs

CRIMES AT THE PREMISES SINCE 9 FEBRUARY 2018

2018 x 5

CR/054716/18	20/06/2018 23:00	21/06/2018 00:25	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/056853/18	27/06/2018 11:45	27/06/2018 12:20	BREACH A CRIMINAL BEHAVIOUR ORDER
CR/056853/18	24/07/2018 00:00	24/07/2018 00:30	THEFT - OTHER - INCLUDING THEFT BY FINDING
CR/086615/18	20/09/2018 02:00	20/09/2018 02:30	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/101861/18	03/11/2018 00:50	03/11/2018 01:05	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM

2019 x 4

CR/030747/19	05/04/2019 11:00	08/04/2019 09:00	ATTEMPT THEFT FROM METER / AUTOMATIC MACHINE
CR/067705/19	28/07/2019 00:15	28/07/2019 00:25	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/076384/19	23/08/2019 18:15	23/08/2019 18:30	USE THREATENING / ABUSIVE / INSULTING WORDS / BEHAVIOUR TO CAUSE HARASSMENT / ALARM / DISTRESS
CR/079980/19	28/08/2019 00:00	28/08/2019 23:59	COMMON ASSAULT
CR/111918/19	14/12/2019 01:00	14/12/2019 01:19	SECTION 18 - GRIEVOUS BODILY HARM WITH INTENT

2021 x 1

CR/029844/21	12/04/2021 23:20	12/04/2021 23:50	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
--------------	------------------	------------------	--

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred between 2300 hrs and 0130 hrs

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred after 0130 hrs

LOGS FOR KERNOU ROAD SINCE 9 FEBRUARY 2018

Date	Time	Log Ref	Incident
24/07/18	1247 hrs	0457	Female being abusive to caller.
10/09/18	1307 hrs	0411	Car damaged intentionally.
13/12/18	0944 hrs	0205	Male kicking off in the road. Kicking and punching a door. Officer attended.
08/04/19	0117 hrs	0035	Report of a male breaking into a parking meter. Officers attended and dealt with male.
26/04/19	1653 hrs	0552	Silent 999. Officers made further enquiries. Call made in error.
31/05/19	2107 hrs	0938	Vehicle has reversed out of the one way system into a motorbike. Female is being obstructive and refusing to get out of the car. Officers attended.
01/06/19	2005 hrs	0917	Caller's boyfriend has been knocked unconscious by a group of males who have made off. Boyfriend is bleeding from side of face. Male is starting to get up and walk off towards sea front. He is drunk.
02/08/19	1001 hrs	0255	Vehicle obstructing a garage, been there all night on double yellows.
17/08/19	0544 hrs	0216	Damage to vehicle. CCTV of premises in area being checked
20/08/19	0028 hrs	0032	Report of drink driver.
22/08/19	0024 hrs	0022	Report of drink driver.
01/09/19	1511 hrs	0576	Caller works at Spinning Wheel. Says that a male has been assaulted in the lane which runs along the back of the premises. The offenders are still there and although staff have calmed the situation they are concerned that it will escalate again. Officers attended.
28/10/19	1543 hrs	0474	Report of a car damaged whilst parked in the road. Nearby has CCTV of other vehicle possibly involved.
10/11/19	0115 hrs	0064	Caller said that a male has left the Hyde Dendy and gone over to a white Mercedes. There has been an argument over money. Male was seen to open the car, take something from it, conceal it in his jacket and walk off. Officers attended the male's home address. He said there had been an argument and 2 other males had got involved, all in drink.
15/07/20	1036 hrs	0250	Male and a female are having an argument. Male has taken ladies handbag and he says that she has taken his phone. Officers attended and dealt with female. Male had left area.
13/04/21	1552 hrs	0569	Vehicle engine been running for over an hour. Vehicle has keys in ignition with engine running. PCSO dealt with matter.

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred between 2300 hrs and 0130 hrs

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred after 0130 hrs

CRIMES IN KERNOU ROAD SINCE 9 FEBRUARY 2018

2018 x 9

CR/075433/18	11/08/2018 20:00	11/08/2018 21:44	USE THREATENING / ABUSIVE / INSULTING WORDS / BEHAVIOUR TO CAUSE HARASSMENT / ALARM / DISTRESS
CR/083538/18	08/09/2018 20:00	09/09/2018 07:00	CRIMINAL DAMAGE TO PROPERTY UNDER £5000 - VEHICLE
CR/017499/18	27/02/2018 12:50	27/02/2018 12:50	OWNER / PERSON IN CHARGE OF A DOG DANGEROUSLY OUT OF CONTROL - NO INJURY
CR/029237/18	06/04/2018 21:40	06/04/2018 21:40	USE THREATENING / ABUSIVE / INSULTING WORDS / BEHAVIOUR TO CAUSE HARASSMENT / ALARM / DISTRESS
CR/038998/18	05/05/2018 00:00	06/05/2018 00:01	ROBBERY
CR/056289/18	25/06/2018 22:35	25/06/2018 22:40	DRIVE MOTOR VEHICLE WHEN ALCOHOL LEVEL ABOVE LIMIT
CR/063125/18	09/07/2018 15:00	10/07/2018 16:00	THEFT IN DWELLING OTHER THAN AN AUTOMATIC MACHINE OR METER
CR/077158/18	21/08/2018 17:40	21/08/2018 18:15	BREACH A CRIMINAL BEHAVIOUR ORDER
CR/108864/18	24/11/2018 00:30	24/11/2018 00:50	FAIL TO PROVIDE SPECIMEN FOR ANALYSIS - VEHICLE DRIVER

2019 x 6

CR/000407/19	01/01/2019 00:01	01/01/2019 01:00	AFFRAY
CR/000828/19	01/01/2019 00:00	01/01/2019 01:00	RACIALLY / RELIGIOUSLY AGGRAVATED INTENTIONAL HARASSMENT / ALARM / DISTRESS - WORDS / WRITING
CR/048668/19	01/06/2019 20:00	01/06/2019 20:05	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/059887/19	06/07/2019 01:20	06/07/2019 01:40	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/079347/19	01/09/2019 15:00	01/09/2019 15:10	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM
CR/079528/19	01/09/2019 21:00	02/09/2019 10:15	THEFT FROM A MOTOR VEHICLE

2020 x 2

CR/013397/20	11/02/2020 00:01	13/02/2020 12:00	THEFT - OTHER - INCLUDING THEFT BY FINDING
CR/065025/20	08/08/2020 01:30	08/08/2020 03:00	ASSAULT A PERSON THEREBY OCCASIONING THEM ACTUAL BODILY HARM

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred between 2300 hrs and 0130 hrs

Highlighted text indicates the incident occurred after 0130 hrs

